

Discrete Mathematics 25 (1979) 285–287  
© North-Holland Publishing Company

## NOTE

### THE TAIL OF THE HYPERGEOMETRIC DISTRIBUTION

V. CHVÁTAL

*Department d'Informatique et Recherche Opérationnelle, Université de Montréal, CP612J,  
Montréal HEC 357, P.Q., Canada*

Received 6 March 1978

Investigating random discrete structures, one often needs upper bounds on the tail of the hypergeometric distribution,

$$H(M, N, n, k) = \sum_{i=k}^n \binom{M}{i} \binom{N-M}{n-i} \binom{N}{n}^{-1}$$

with  $k = (p+t)n$  for  $p = M/N$  and some  $t \geq 0$ . A particularly useful bound,

$$H(M, N, n, k) \leq \left( \left( \frac{p}{p+t} \right)^{p+t} \left( \frac{1-p}{1-p-t} \right)^{1-p-t} \right)^n \quad (1)$$

is included as a special case in a powerful result of Hoeffding [1]. Converting (1) into more elegant but weaker bounds is a routine matter. For example, setting

$$f(t) = (p+t) \log \frac{p}{p+t} + (1-p-t) \log \frac{1-p}{1-p-t}$$

we have

$$f'(t) = \log \frac{p(1-p-t)}{(p+t)(1-p)}$$

and

$$f''(t) = \frac{-1}{(p+t)(1-p-t)} \leq -4$$

whenever  $0 \leq t \leq 1-p$ . Since  $f(0) = f'(0) = 0$ , we conclude that  $f(t) \leq -2t^2$  and so

$$H(M, N, n, k) \leq e^{-2t^2 n}.$$

The purpose of this note is to present a simple proof of (1). First of all, let us verify that

$$\binom{N}{n}^{-1} \sum_{i=j}^n \binom{M}{i} \binom{N-M}{n-i} \binom{N}{n} \leq \binom{N}{j} \left( \frac{M}{N} \right)^j. \quad (2)$$

Since

$$\sum_{i=j}^n \binom{M}{i} \binom{N-M}{n-i} \binom{i}{j} = \binom{M}{j} \sum_{i=j}^n \binom{M-j}{i-j} \binom{N-M}{n-i} = \binom{M}{j} \binom{N-j}{n-j},$$

the inequality (2) may be written as

$$\binom{N}{n}^{-1} \binom{M}{j} \binom{N-j}{n-j} \leq \binom{n}{j} \left(\frac{M}{N}\right)^j$$

which further simplifies into

$$\binom{M}{j} \binom{N}{j}^{-1} \leq \left(\frac{M}{N}\right)^j.$$

The last inequality is a direct consequence of  $M \leq N$ , and so (2) follows.

Now we may observe that for every  $x \geq 1$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=0}^n \binom{M}{i} \binom{N-M}{n-i} \binom{N}{n}^{-1} x^i &= \sum_{i=0}^n \binom{M}{i} \binom{N-M}{n-i} \binom{N}{n}^{-1} \sum_{j=0}^i \binom{i}{j} (x-1)^j \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^n \left( \binom{N}{n}^{-1} \sum_{i=j}^n \binom{M}{i} \binom{N-M}{n-i} \binom{i}{j} \right) (x-1)^j \leq \sum_{j=0}^n \binom{n}{j} \left(\frac{M}{N}\right)^j (x-1)^j \\ &= \left(1 + \frac{(x-1)M}{N}\right)^n. \end{aligned}$$

Combining this observation with the fact that

$$H(M, N, n, k) \leq \sum_{i=0}^n \binom{M}{i} \binom{N-M}{n-i} \binom{N}{n}^{-1} x^{i-k}$$

we conclude that

$$H(M, N, n, k) \leq x^{-k} \left(1 + \frac{(x-1)M}{N}\right)^n = (x^{-(p+t)}(1 + (x-1)p))^n$$

for all  $x \geq 1$ . Choosing

$$x = \frac{(1-p)(p+t)}{p(1-p-t)}$$

we obtain the desired result (1).

Incidentally, an analogous bound on the tail of the binomial distribution,

$$B(p, n, k) = \sum_{i=k}^n \binom{n}{i} p^i (1-p)^{n-i}$$

is even easier to establish: we have

$$B(p, n, k) \leq \sum_{i=0}^n \binom{n}{i} p^i (1-p)^{n-i} x^{i-k} = x^{-k} (1 + (x-1)p)^n$$

for all  $x \geq 1$ , and so

$$B(p, n, k) \leq \left( \left( \frac{p}{p+t} \right)^{p+t} \left( \frac{1-p}{1-p-t} \right)^{1-p-t} \right)^n \leq e^{-2t^2n}$$

as above. (Apparently this argument has been used first by S.N. Bernstein; for more references, the reader is directed to [1].)

### Acknowledgment

I am grateful to Persi Diaconis for bringing Hoeffding's paper to my attention.

### Reference

- [1] W. Hoeffding, Probability inequalities for sums of bounded random variables, J. Am. Statist. Assoc. 58 (1963) 13–30.